



2940 Limited Lane NW  
Olympia, WA 98502

*Representing Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson,  
Mason, Pacific, and Thurston Counties*

1-800-422-5623 • (360) 539-7610

October 10, 2023

Weyerhaeuser NR Company  
Angela Cameron  
51 Ellis St  
Raymond, WA 98577

**SUBJECT: 23NOC1614 Data Request 1**

Dear Angela,

Additional information is needed to complete the Notice of Construction (NOC) application submitted by Weyerhaeuser NR Company for approval to install a CDK at 51 Ellis Rd in Raymond, WA. The information necessary to complete the application is described in the following attachment.

The requested information should be submitted as an application addendum and should indicate the NOC application # (23NOC1614), your name, your company name, and the current date on the cover page. Once we have received a complete application, ORCAA will review the proposal for compliance with the criteria for approval in ORCAA Rule 6.1 before issuing a Final Determination and Order of Approval.

Please be advised that an Order of Approval issued by ORCAA is required prior commencing construction of the CDK. Not securing ORCAA's approval prior to commencing construction would be a violation of ORCAA Rule 6.1 and could be subject to penalty. Commencing the project includes (but is not limited to) preparatory work to facilitate the project such as electrical, plumbing, or foundation work, or moving equipment to the project site. Also, ORCAA recommends not committing to purchasing any equipment that emits air pollution or air pollution control devices until an Order of Approval has been issued. ORCAA's Order of Approval may include specific design requirements for equipment or air pollution control.

If any of the information you are submitting is confidential, please submit a Request for Confidentiality of Records and a public (redacted) copy of the document. See [www.orcaa.org/forms-documents/](http://www.orcaa.org/forms-documents/) for an ORCAA Fact Sheet on Confidential Business Information and the Request for Confidentiality Form.

Please contact me if you have any questions at 360-539-7610 or [aaron.manley@orcaa.org](mailto:aaron.manley@orcaa.org).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Aaron Manley", is written over a horizontal line.

Aaron Manley, P.E.  
Engineer II

**Attachment**  
**Information Needed to Complete NOC Application 23NOC1614**  
**October 10, 2023**

1. Potential To Emit (PTE) Calculations. The emissions calculations in the permit application did not appear to assume true PTE (i.e. continuous 8,760 hours per year) operation for all aspects of the operation. ORCAA can limit or cap the facility's operations and emissions to the production levels proposed in the application. However, if your facility decides to operate more than at the rates proposed in the application, it would require a permit modification prior to making those operational changes. If Weyerhaeuser would like to operate more than the hours proposed in the permit application, please recalculate emissions at the desired level of production (up to 8,760 hours per year) and resubmit PTE calculations and modeling. Otherwise, ORCAA will assume you're satisfied with the operational hours and material usage rates proposed in the permit application and include the appropriate additional monitoring, record keeping, and reporting requirements in the permit.
2. Electronic copies of the spreadsheets used to calculate criteria, TAP and GHG emissions provided in the application. The electronic spreadsheets must be in an unprotected format to enable equations, linkages, emissions factors, and assumptions to be seen.
3. Modeling Comments & Questions.
  - a. The application states an outdated version of AERMET was used, (V.19191) and we require confirmation the current version of AERMET was used (V. 22112) or that the modeling scenario be re-run using the current version of AERMET.
  - b. The application needs to use the most recent 5 calendar years of met data, or be amended to address/justify why 2016-2020 was used and not 2018-2022.
  - c. Table G-4, footnote 1 states that the two merged CDK stacks (North and South) are based on guidance from "*Practical Guide to Atmospheric Dispersion Modeling*" (Turner and Shulze). Please provide the relevant excerpts from this reference, in the context of how the merged pseudo stacks' exit velocity and stack diameter were determined.
  - d. Please provide an evaluation of ORCAA Rule 8.6(b), ORCAA's ambient formaldehyde standard.
  - e. Please provide access to the modeling input/output files via DVD, a SharePoint link, or something similar (please note that we do not accept DropBox).
  - f. Section 7.1, paragraph 2 of the NOC application states that all TAPs, except NO<sub>2</sub>, were modeled at 1 g/s and scaled using the project emission increase per WAC 173-460-080." Please provide the "post processing" worksheet used to scale TAP modeling results at 1 g/s by the TAP emissions rates of each source modeled. **Why needed:** Because the NOC application states that 1 g/s was used, the modeling results must have been post-processed. ORCAA needs to review these calculations because it is a critical part of the impacts analysis.
  - g. Please provide the "front-end" calculations of criteria pollutants that converts the appropriate emission rates to the g/s used for each release point in the model. **Why needed:** ORCAA needs to review these calculations because they are a critical preliminary step in the impacts analysis.

4. Addressing Startup/Shutdown. The application indicates there will be two (2) ten hour shifts operating 5 days a week. Will the CDK shut down during non-shift hours, on the weekend, or will it continue operating continuously, except for during annual/planned maintenance? Also, do you plan to meet BACT limits during Startup/Shutdown?
5. Pollutants typically associated with hogged fuel combustion such as Mercury, Hydrochloric Acid, Chromium III, and Chromium VI were not addressed in the application. Please use the AP-42 emissions factors or similar and complete the associated Chapter 173-460 WAC toxics review for those TAP.
6. Did the application 'net out' or 'offset' any pollutants? It did not appear so, but we mentioned it may be an option in the pre-meeting and we are verifying those techniques were not used.
7. CDK BACT for PM. Please provide a narrative for why add-on controls for PM are technically infeasible or update the CDK BACT determination for PM.