



October 12, 2023

Weyerhaeuser NR Company
Angela Cameron
51 Ellis St
Raymond, WA 98577

SUBJECT: 23NOC1614 Data Request 1.1

Hello, Angela. Apologies for sending this request for clarification after the initial data request, but a coworker had a comment: Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 of the application state, "Upon further analysis, all add-on control technologies were deemed to be technically infeasible." However, no explanations were provided to support these conclusions for either VOC or particulate emissions controls listed as "Other Controls" in the application. An explanation needs to be provided for all control technologies used in practice for drying (lumber, veneer, wood chips) even if the control technology does not show up on the RBL clearinghouse list. For example, veneer dryers are a similar drying operation where presumed BACT is an add-on control device. The CDKs proposed by Weyerhaeuser will be equipped with exhaust capture systems, which will capture and exhaust emissions through two sets of stacks. Therefore, it is technically feasible to duct these emissions to an add-on control system. Therefore, for each "Other Control" listed in the application, provide either:

1. A sound basis or explanation why the add-on control is technically infeasible; or,
2. A cost feasibility analysis for the add-on control.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Aaron Manley", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Aaron Manley, P.E.
Engineer II